Mr. Fitzgerald submitted the following message:
BY THE HOUSE OF DELEGATES,
March 29th, 1892.

Gentlemen of the Senate:

We have received your messrge of the 23d inst. in reference to House bill entitled an Act to repeal section 367, of Article 4, of the Code of Public Local Laws, title "City of Baltimore," sub-title "Harbor, Docks and Wharves," and to re-enact the same with amendments, in which your Honorable Body announces that you have declined to recede from its amendments, and also your request for the appointment of a Conference Committee, &c.

We respectfully concur therein, and have appointed on the part of the House, Messrs. Fitzgerald, Brennan and Sams.

By order,

CARLTON SHAFER, Chief Clerk.

Which was read, assented to, and sent to the Senate.

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

The House considered Unfinished Business, being the consideration of the following proposed amendment to the amendment to bill (printed No. 240), known as the Telephone Bill, submitted by Mr. O'Conor, March 25th.

AMENDMENT PROPOSED TO AMENDMENT.

After the word "exceeding," in line 6, insert "\$5.00 per month," in lieu of \$6.50.

By unanimous consent of the House, withdrew the proposed amendment to the amendment.

The question then recurred on the following proposed amendment as submitted by Mr. Sams, March 25th:

## AMENDMENT PROPOSED.

In section A strike out all after the word "communication," in line 7, (printed bill), to and including the word "month," in line 12, and insert in place thereof the following: "In such city or town any sum exceeding \$6.50 per month where one telephone